# Quality Payment PROGRAM

# Changes to Quality Payment Program (QPP) Policies Proposed in the Calendar Year (CY) 2023 Physician Fee Schedule (PFS) Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM)

- Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Overview
  - MIPS Performance Categories
  - Final Scoring
  - Third Party Intermediaries
- Advanced Alternative Payment Models (APMs) Overview
- Public Reporting via Doctors and Clinicians Care Compare Overview
- How Do I Comment on the CY 2023 Proposed Rule?
- Appendix A: Previously Finalized Policies for CY 2023
- Appendix B: New Quality Measures Proposed
- Appendix C: Quality Measures Proposed for Removal
- Appendix D: Quality Measures Proposed for Removal from Traditional MIPS
- Appendix E: New Improvement Activities Proposed
- Appendix F: Improvement Activities Proposed for Removal

We refer you to the **MVPs Proposals Table** and **Proposed MVPs Guide** in the <u>CY 2023 PFS QPP Proposed Rule</u> <u>Resources (ZIP)</u> for information about the proposals related to MVPs.

We refer you to the <u>Medicare Shared Savings Program Fact Sheet</u> for information about proposals related to the Medicare Shared Savings Program.



# Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Overview

Pathway (APP) Unless Otherwise Noted	MIPS Performance Categories – These Proposals Would Apply to Traditional MIPS, MIPS Value Pathways (MVPs) and the APM Performance Pathway (APP) Unless Otherwise Noted					
Quality Performance Category						
Quality Measures         Quality Measure Inventory         Quality Measure Inventory           There are 200 quality measures available for the 2022 performance period.         Quality Measure Inventory         We're proposing a total of 194 quality performance period; please note that Q Registry (QCDR) measures are appro- rulemaking process and aren't not incl These proposals reflect:	Qualified Clinical Data wed outside the luded in this total. , including 1 new , 1 composite measure, 2 new patient-reported endix B) sting MIPS quality ecific specialty sets. ecific specialty sets. es from the MIPS partial removal of 2					





POLICY AREA	EXISTING POLICY	CY 2023 NPRM PROPOSALS
		traditional MIPS and proposed for retention for MVP use only). (See <u>Appendix C</u> and <u>Appendix D</u> ).
Administrative Claims	Benchmarks for Scoring	Benchmarks for Scoring
Measures	Quality measures are scored against a historical benchmark (using data from a baseline period 2 years before the performance period) if available; if no historical benchmark is available, we attempt to calculate a performance period benchmark for scoring purposes.	We're proposing to use performance period benchmarks exclusively for scoring administrative claims measures.
High Priority Measures	Definition	Definition
ineasures	<ul> <li>A high priority measure is defined as an:</li> <li>Outcome (including intermediate-outcome and patient-reported outcome) quality measure,</li> <li>Appropriate use quality measure,</li> <li>Patient safety quality measure,</li> <li>Efficiency quality measure,</li> <li>Patient experience quality measure,</li> <li>Care coordination quality measure, or</li> <li>Opioid-related quality measure.</li> </ul>	We're proposing to expand the definition of a high-priority measure also to <b>include health equity-related quality</b> <b>measures</b> .



POLICY AREA	EXISTING POLICY	CY 2023 NPRM PROPOSALS
CAHPS for MIPS Survey	Case-Mix Adjustment The case-mix adjustment models for CAHPS for MIPS adjust for patients' characteristics that may impact survey responses but are outside the control of the group. The CAHPS for MIPS case-mix adjustment model includes the following case-mix adjustors: Age Education Self-reported general health status Self-reported mental health status Proxy response Medicaid dual eligibility Eligibility for Medicare's low-income subsidy Asian language survey completion (beginning 2022)	Case-Mix Adjustment We're proposing to change the case-mix adjustor for "Asian language survey completion" to use the "language other than English spoken at home" variable instead. The proposed refinement to the CAHPS for MIPS case-mix adjustment methodology is intended to capture language preference more accurately, as well as response patterns of participants with similar experiences, for a more meaningful comparison of performance between MIPS groups.





Data Completeness: • Electronic Clinical Quality Measures	Data completeness refers to the volume of performance data reported for the measure's eligible population. When reporting a quality measure, you must identify the eligible population (or denominator) as outlined in the measure's specification. To meet data completeness criteria in the 2022 and 2023	We're proposing to <b>increase</b> the data completeness threshold <b>to 75%</b> for the <b>2024 and 2025 performance</b> <b>periods</b> . For the 2023 performance period, the data completeness threshold remains at 70% as finalized in the CY 2022 Physician Fee Schedule final rule.
(eCQMs) <ul> <li>MIPS Clinical         <ul> <li>Quality</li> <li>Measures</li> <li>(MIPS CQMs)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	performance periods, you must report performance data (performance met or not met, or denominator exceptions) for 70% of denominator eligible encounters.	<b>Note:</b> These proposals don't apply to CMS Web Interface measures; as a reminder, in the 2023 performance period, the CMS Web Interface is only available to Medicare Shared Savings Program Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs) reporting via the APM Performance Pathway (APP).
<ul> <li>Medicare Part B Claims Measures</li> <li>QCDR Measures</li> </ul>		



POLICY AREA	EXISTING POLICY	CY 2023 NPRM PROPOSALS
	Cost Performance Cate	gory
Cost Improvement Scoring	Cost improvement scoring to begin in the 2022 performance period.	<ul><li>We're proposing to establish a maximum cost improvement score of 1 percentage point out of 100 percentage points available for the cost performance category starting with the 2022 performance period.</li><li>We are proposing to establish this policy in order to adhere to the statutory requirement of accounting for improvement in the assessment of performance under the cost performance category.</li></ul>
	Improvement Activities Performa	ance Category
Activity Inventory	Improvement Activities Inventory	Improvement Activities Inventory
	There are 106 improvement activities available for the 2022 performance period.	We're proposing to <b>add 4</b> new improvement activities (See <u>Appendix E</u> ).
		We're proposing to <b>modify 5</b> existing improvement activities.
		We're proposing to <b>remove 6</b> existing improvement activities (See <u>Appendix F</u> ).





POLICY AREA	EXISTING POLICY	CY 2023 NPRM PROPOSALS
	Promoting Interoperability Perform	mance Category
Automatic Reweighting	Promoting Interoperability Perform         Reweighting         Automatic reweighting applies to the following clinician types for the 2022 performance period:         • Nurse practitioners         • Physician assistants         • Certified registered nurse anesthetists         • Clinical nurse specialists         • Clinical social workers         • Physical therapists         • Occupational therapists         • Qualified speech-language pathologist         • Qualified audiologists         • Clinical psychologists, and	Reweighting         We're proposing to discontinue automatic reweighting for the following clinician types beginning with this 2023 performance period: <ul> <li>Nurse practitioners</li> <li>Physician assistants</li> <li>Certified registered nurse anesthetists</li> <li>Clinical nurse specialists</li> </ul> <li>We're proposing to continue automatic reweighting for the following clinician types in the 2023 performance period:         <ul> <li>Clinical social workers</li> <li>Physical therapists</li> </ul> </li>
	<ul> <li>Registered dieticians or nutrition professionals</li> <li>Automatic reweighting applies to MIPS eligible clinicians, groups and virtual groups with the following special statuses: <ul> <li>Ambulatory Surgical Center (ASC)-based</li> <li>Hospital-based</li> <li>Non-patient facing</li> <li>Small practice</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Occupational therapists</li> <li>Qualified speech-language pathologists</li> <li>Qualified audiologists</li> <li>Clinical psychologists, and</li> <li>Registered dieticians or nutrition professionals</li> </ul>



POLICY AREA	EXISTING POLICY	CY 2023 NPRM PROPOSALS
Data Submission	APM Entity-Level Participation When participating in MIPS at the APM Entity level (reporting either the APP or traditional MIPS), Promoting Interoperability data must be reported at the individual or group level.	<ul> <li>APM Entity-Level Participation</li> <li>When participating in MIPS at the APM Entity level (reporting the APP, traditional MIPS or an MVP), we're proposing to allow APM Entities to report Promoting Interoperability data at the APM Entity level.</li> <li>APM Entities would still have the option to report this performance category at individual and group level.</li> </ul>
Measures and Reporting Requirements	<ul> <li>Public Health and Clinical Data Exchange Objective</li> <li>Currently, there are three active engagement options for the measures within this objective: <ul> <li>Option 1: Completed Registration to Submit Data</li> <li>Option 2: Testing and Validation</li> <li>Option 3: Production</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Public Health and Clinical Data Exchange Objective         We're proposing to modify the levels of active engagement for the Public Health and Clinical Data Exchange Objective measures.         We're proposing to combine Options 1 and 2 into a single option titled "Pre-production and Validation" and rename Option 3 to "Validated Data Production" for a total of 2 options.         In addition to requiring a yes/no response for the required Public Health and Clinical Data Exchange measures, we're also proposing to require MIPS eligible clinicians to submit their level of active engagement.





POLICY AREA	EXISTING POLICY	CY 2023 NPRM PROPOSALS
	Query of Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) measure	Query of Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) measure
	This is an optional measure, worth 10 bonus points in the 2022 performance period.	<ul> <li>We're proposing to make this a required measure beginning with the 2023 performance period.</li> <li>We're proposing to add exclusions for the measure and make it worth 10 points.</li> <li>We're also proposing to expand the scope of the measure to include not only Schedule II opioids but also Schedules III and IV drugs.</li> </ul>
	Health Information Exchange (HIE) Objective	Health Information Exchange (HIE) Objective
	There are 2 options for satisfying the HIE objective in the 2022 performance period.	We're proposing a 3 <sup>rd</sup> option for satisfying the HIE objective for the 2023 performance period, in addition to the 2 existing options.
	<ul> <li>Option 1: Report both         <ul> <li>Support Electronic Referral Loops by Sending Health Information, and</li> <li>Support Electronic Referral Loops by Receiving and Reconciling Health Information.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Option 2: Health Information Exchange Bi-Directional Exchange</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Option 3: Participation in the Trusted Exchange Framework and Common Agreement (TEFCA)</li> <li>This measure would require the MIPS eligible clinician to attest YES that MIPS eligible clinician is a signatory to a Framework Agreement as that term is defined by the Common Agreement for Nationwide Health Information Interoperability as published in the Federal Register and on the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC) website and use certified electronic health record technology</li> </ul>



POLICY AREA	EXISTING POLICY				CY 2023 NPRM PROPOSALS	8
			(CEHR <sup>-</sup> Agreem	T) to exchange information undenent.	er this	
Measure Points	Promoting Interoperability measures are worth the following maximum points in the 2022 performance period:			ng Promoting Interoperability m Ilowing maximum points begini nce period:		
	Objective	Measure	Maximum Points	Objective	Measure	Maximum
	Electronic Prescribing	e-Prescribing Bonus: Query of PDMP	10 points 10 points ( <i>bonus</i> )	Electronic Prescribing	e-Prescribing Query of PDMP	Points 10 points 10 points*
		Support Electronic Referral Loops by Sending Health Information	20 points	Health	Support Electronic Referral Loops by Sending Health Information	15 points*
	Health Information Exchange	Support Electronic Referral Loops by Receiving and Reconciling Health Information	20 points		Support Electronic Referral Loops by Receiving and Reconciling Health Information	15 points*
		-OR-		Information	-OR-	
		Health Information Exchange Bi-Directional Exchange*	40 points	Exchange	Health Information Exchange Bi-Directional Exchange*	30 points*
	Provider to Patient Exchange	Provide Patients Electronic Access to Their Health Information	40 points		-OR- Participation in TEFCA	30 points*



POLICY AREA	EXISTING POLICY				CY 2023 NPRM PROPOSALS	5
	Objective	Measure	Maximum Points	Objective	Measure	Maximum Points
		Report the following 2 measures:* • Immunization Registry		Provider to Patient Exchange	Provide Patients Electronic Access to Their Health Information	25 points*
	Public Health and Clinical Data Exchange	<ul><li>Reporting</li><li>Electronic Case Reporting</li></ul>	10 points	Public	<ul><li>Report the following 2 measures:</li><li>Immunization Registry Reporting</li></ul>	25 points*
		Report one of the following measures: • Syndromic Surveillance		Health and Clinical Data Exchange	Electronic Case     Reporting	
		<ul> <li>Reporting</li> <li>Public Health Registry Reporting</li> <li>Clinical Data Registry Reporting</li> </ul>	5 points ( <i>bonus</i> )		<ul> <li>Report one of the following measures:</li> <li>Syndromic Surveillance Reporting</li> <li>Public Health Registry</li> </ul>	5 points <i>(bonus)*</i>
					<ul><li>Reporting</li><li>Clinical Data Registry Reporting</li></ul>	
	Final Scoring					
Facility-based Measurement	N/A			Complex Pati	ent Bonus	
					ng that a facility-based MIPS eli le to receive the complex patie	•





POLICY AREA	EXISTING POLICY	CY 2023 NPRM PROPOSALS
		if they don't submit data for at least one MIPS performance category.
		Virtual Groups
		<ul> <li>We're proposing to permit facility-based measurement of a virtual group given it meets the specified eligibility standards of having 75% or more of the eligible clinicians in the virtual group meet the definition of a facility-based MIPS eligible clinician.</li> <li>Under this proposal, CMS would score eligible virtual groups under facility-based measurement even if no data were submitted; by electing to form a virtual group, virtual groups signal their intent to participate and be scored as a virtual group.</li> </ul>
Performance Threshold /	Performance Threshold	Performance Threshold
Additional Performance Threshold / Payment Adjustment	As required by statute, beginning with the 2022 performance year/2024 payment year, we must set the performance threshold as either the mean or median of the final scores for all MIPS eligible clinicians for a prior period. We're using the <b>mean final score from the 2017</b>	<ul> <li>We're proposing to continue using the mean final score from the 2017 performance year/2019 MIPS payment year:</li> <li>We would set the performance threshold at 75 points for the 2023 performance year/2025 payment year.</li> </ul>
	performance year/2019 MIPS payment year to establish the performance threshold.	We note that the 2022 performance year/2024 payment year was the final year for an additional performance threshold/additional MIPS adjustment for exceptional
	For the 2022 performance year (2024 payment year)	performance.



POLICY AREA	EXISTING POLICY	CY 2023 NPRM PROPOSALS
	<ul> <li>The performance threshold is set at <b>75 points</b>.</li> <li>An additional performance threshold is set at <b>89</b> points for exceptional performance.</li> </ul>	
	Third Party Intermedia	ries
QCDRs	QCDR Measure Testing Requirements	QCDR Measure Testing Requirements
	Beginning with the 2023 performance period, QCDRs must fully develop and test their measures, with complete testing results at the clinician level, prior to self-nomination.	<ul> <li>We're proposing to delay the requirement for full measure testing to begin with the 2024 performance period.</li> <li>We are not changing the requirements that QCDR measures be fully tested prior to inclusion in an MVP.</li> </ul>
	QCDR Measure Specifications	QCDR Measure Specifications
	Our current policy requires QCDRs to post measure specifications no later than 15 calendar days following CMS approval of any QCDR measure specifications. The entity must publicly post the measure specifications for that QCDR measure (including the CMS- assigned QCDR measure ID) and provide CMS with a link to where this information is posted.	We're proposing to update current language to clarify requirements for publicly posting the approved measure specifications such that 15 calendar days after CMS has posted the approved QCDR measure specifications, the entity must publicly post their specifications, confirm that the measure specifications they post align with the measure specifications posted by CMS, and provide a link to where the information is posted.
	Remedial Action and Termination Policies	Remedial Action and Termination Policies
	<ul> <li>A corrective action plan (CAP) must address the following:</li> <li>The issues that contributed to the non-compliance.</li> </ul>	We're proposing to broaden the scope of affected parties under the second CAP requirement to also identify impacts





POLICY AREA	EXISTING POLICY	CY 2023 NPRM PROPOSALS
	<ul> <li>The impact to individual clinicians, groups, or virtual groups, regardless of whether they are participating in the program because they are MIPS eligible, voluntary participating, or opting in to participating in the MIPS program.</li> <li>The corrective actions the third party intermediary will implement to ensure they have resolved the non-compliance and that it will not recur in the future.</li> <li>The detailed timeline for achieving compliance with the applicable requirements.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>to any QCDRs that were granted licenses to the measures of the affected QCDR, rather than limit the identification of impacts to clinicians only: <ul> <li>The impact to individual clinicians, groups, virtual groups, subgroups, or APM Entities, regardless of whether they are participating in the program because they are MIPS eligible, voluntarily participating, or opting in to participating in the MIPS program, and any QCDRs that were granted licenses to the measures of a QCDR upon which a CAP has been imposed.</li> </ul> </li> <li>We're also proposing to add a new CAP requirement to require the third party intermediary to develop a communication plan for communicating the impact to the parties identified in the second CAP requirement.</li> </ul>
	Participation Plan Requirements for QCDRs or Qualified Registries That Have Not Submitted Performance Data	Termination of Approved QCDRs or Qualified Registries That Have Continued Not to Submit Performance Data
	Beginning with the 2024 performance period, a QCDR or qualified registry that was approved but didn't submit any MIPS data for either of the 2 years preceding the applicable self-nomination period must submit a participation plan for CMS' approval. The participation plan must include the QCDR and/or qualified registry's detailed plans about how the QCDR or qualified registry intends to encourage clinicians to submit MIPS data to CMS through the QCDR or qualified registry.	Beginning with the 2024 performance period, we're proposing to terminate those QCDRs or qualified registries that are required to submit participation plans as required under existing policy during the applicable self-nomination period (because they did not submit any MIPS data for either of the 2 years preceding the applicable self-nomination period) and continue to not submit MIPS data to CMS for the applicable performance period.





## Advanced Alternative Payment Models (APMs) Overview

POLICY AREA	EXISTING POLICY	CY 2023 PROPOSED
Advanced APMs	Nominal Risk Expiration	Nominal Risk Expiration
	In the 2017 QPP final rule, we established an 8% Generally Applicable Nominal Risk standard for Advanced APMs (those through which eligible clinician participants become eligible for QP status). The statute specifies that Advanced APMs are those that require more than a nominal amount of financial risk. In 2017 we set that "more than nominal financial risk" threshold at 8% and suggested that over time the 8% threshold may become too low relative to the amount of risk participants in newer and more advanced APMs were capable of bearing. Therefore, we set an expiration date of the 2024 performance year for this threshold, at which point we would need reconsider the threshold value.	We're proposing to <b>remove</b> the 2024 expiration of the 8% minimum on the Generally Applicable Nominal Risk standard for Advanced APMs and make the 8% minimum permanent.
	Medical Home Model 50 Clinician Limit	Medical Home Model 50 Clinician Limit
	In the 2017 QPP final rule (81 FR 77428), we finalized a policy to set a limit of 50 on the number of clinicians in an organization that participates in an Advanced APM through a Medical Home Model, using the Medical Home Model nominal financial risk criteria. At that time, we described the way in which we would identify APM Entities that meet this standard as looking for "APM Entities that participate in Medical Home Models and that have 50 or fewer eligible clinicians in the organization through which the entity is	We're proposing to apply the 50 clinician limit to the APM Entity participating in the Medical Home Model. We would identify the clinicians in the APM Entity by using the TIN/NPIs on the participation list of the APM Entity on each of the three QP determination dates (March 31, June 30, and August 31). This policy would become effective in Performance Year 2023.





POLICY AREA	EXISTING POLICY	CY 2023 PROPOSED
	owned and operated." We defined organizational size as measured based on the size of the "parent organization" rather than the size of the APM Entity itself.	





# Public Reporting via Doctors and Clinicians Care Compare Overview

POLICY AREA	EXISTING POLICY	CY 2023 PROPOSED
Public	Telehealth Indicators	Telehealth Indicators
Reporting	N/A	<ul> <li>We're proposing to publicly report a telehealth indicator, as applicable and technically feasible, on individual clinician and group profile pages for those clinicians furnishing covered telehealth services.</li> <li>Adding telehealth indicators to profile pages will help to empower patients' healthcare decisions.</li> </ul>
	Utilization Data	Utilization Data
	There is no existing policy on utilization data even though we sought public comment through a Request for Information (RFI) in the CY 2022 Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on the types of utilization data we could add to Care Compare to inform patients' healthcare decisions.	<ul> <li>We're proposing to publicly report procedures commonly performed on individual clinician profile pages to aid patients in finding clinicians who may appropriately serve their needs.</li> <li>Adding utilization data to profile pages would allow patients to find clinicians who have performed specific types of procedures.</li> </ul>

#### How Do I Comment on the CY 2023 Proposed Rule?

The proposed rule includes directions for submitting comments. We must receive comments within the 60-day comment period, which closes on September 6, 2022. When commenting, refer to file code: CMS-1770-P.

We won't accept FAX transmissions. Use one of the following ways to officially submit your comments:

- Electronically through <u>regulations.gov</u>
- Regular mail
- Express or overnight mail

You can access the proposed rule through the "Regulatory Resources" section of the QPP Resource Library.

#### **Contact Us**

We will continue to provide support to clinicians who need assistance. While our support offerings will reflect our efforts to streamline and simplify the Quality Payment Program, we understand that clinicians will still need assistance to help them successfully participate.

We also encourage clinicians to contact the QPP Service Center at 1-866-288-8292, Monday through Friday, 8am – 8pm ET or by email at <u>QPP@cms.hhs.gov</u>. Customers who are hearing impaired can dial 711 to connect to a TRS Communications Assistant. You can also visit the <u>Quality Payment Program website</u> for educational resources, information, and upcoming webinars.



#### Version History Table

Date	Change Description		
7/8/2022	Updated to include links to resources on page 1 and details about submitting public comments on page 18.		
7/7/2022	Original posting		

#### Appendix A: Previously Finalized Policies for Calendar Year 2023

The table below identifies policies finalized in the CY 2022 PFS Final Rule that apply in the 2023 performance period.

POLICY AREA	PREVIOUSLY FINALIZED POLICY APPLICABLE IN CALENDAR YEAR 2023				
Quality Perform	Quality Performance Category				
Collection	We previously finalized that the CMS Web Interface will sunset as a collection type and submission type for traditional MIPS beginning with the 2023 performance period.				
Types	The CMS Web Interface will remain an available collection type only for Medicare Shared Savings Program ACOs reporting via the APP in the 2023 and 2024 performance years.				
Data Completeness	We previously finalized a 70% data completeness threshold for the 2023 performance period.				
	Measures that can be reliably scored against a benchmark:				
Scoring	<ul> <li>We're removing the 3-point floor for measures that can be reliably scored against a benchmark (meet case minimum and data completeness).</li> <li>These measures will receive 1-10 points.</li> </ul>				
	<b>Note:</b> This policy doesn't apply to new measures in the first 2 performance periods available for reporting.				
	Measures without an available benchmark (historical or performance period):				





- We're removing the 3-point floor for measures without a benchmark, even when data completeness and case minimum criteria are met.
  - These measures will receive 0 points.
  - Small practices will continue to earn 3 points.

**Note:** This policy doesn't apply to new measures in the first 2 performance periods available for reporting or to administrative claims measures.

Measures that don't meet case minimum:

We're removing the 3-point floor for measures that don't meet case minimum (except small practices).

- These measures will earn 0 points.
- Small practices will continue to earn 3 points.

**Note:** This policy doesn't apply to new measures in the first 2 performance periods available for reporting or to administrative claims measures. Measures calculated from administrative claims are excluded from scoring if the case minimum is not met.

#### Appendix B: New Quality Measures Proposed for the CY 2023 Performance Period/2025 MIPS Payment Year and Future Years

MEASURE TITLE	DESCRIPTION	COLLECTION TYPE / MEASURE TYPE
Psoriasis – Improvement in Patient-Reported Itch Severity	The percentage of patients, aged 18 years and older, with a diagnosis of psoriasis where at an initial (index) visit have a patient reported itch severity assessment performed, score greater than or equal to 4, and who achieve a score reduction of 2 or more points at a follow up visit.	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Patient Reported Outcome-Based Performance</li> </ul>

MEASURE TITLE	DESCRIPTION	COLLECTION TYPE / MEASURE TYPE
		Measure
Dermatitis – Improvement in Patient-Reported Itch Severity	The percentage of patients, aged 18 years and older, with a diagnosis of dermatitis where at an initial (index) visit have a patient reported itch severity assessments performed, score greater than or equal to 4, and who achieve a score reduction of 2 or more points at a follow up visit.	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Patient-Reported Outcome-Based Performance Measure</li> </ul>
Screening for Social Drivers of Health	Percent of patients 18 years and older screened for food insecurity, housing instability, transportation needs, utility difficulties, and interpersonal safety.	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Process</li> </ul>
Kidney Health Evaluation	Percentage of patients aged 18-75 years with a diagnosis of diabetes who received a kidney health evaluation defined by an Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR) AND Urine Albumin-Creatinine Ratio (uACR) within the measurement period.	<ul> <li>eCQM Specifications, MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>Process</li> </ul>
Adult Kidney Disease: Angiotensin Converting Enzyme (ACE) Inhibitor or Angiotensin Receptor Blocker (ARB) Therapy	Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of chronic kidney disease (CKD) (Stages 1- 5, not receiving Renal Replacement Therapy (RRT)) and proteinuria who were prescribed ACE inhibitor or ARB therapy within a 12-month period.	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>Process</li> </ul>



XXI			
MEASURE TITLE	DESCRIPTION	COLLECTION TYPE / MEASURE TYPE	

			MEASURE ITPE
Appropriate Intervention of Immune-Related	Percentage of patients, aged 18 years and older, with	•	MIPS CQMs
	a diagnosis of cancer, on immune checkpoint inhibitor		Specifications
Diarrhea and/or Colitis in	therapy, and grade 2 or above diarrhea and/or grade	•	Process
Patients Treated with	2 or above colitis, who have immune checkpoint		
Immune Checkpoint	inhibitor therapy held and corticosteroids or		
Inhibitors	immunosuppressants prescribed or administered.		
Mismatch Repair (MMR)	Percentage of surgical pathology reports for primary	•	MIPS CQMs
or Microsatellite	colorectal, endometrial, gastroesophageal or small		Specifications
Instability (MSI)	bowel carcinoma, biopsy or resection, that contain	•	High Priority
Biomarker Testing Status	impression or conclusion of or recommendation for	•	Process
in Colorectal Carcinoma,	testing of mismatch repair (MMR) by		
Endometrial,	immunohistochemistry (biomarkers MLH1, MSH2,		
Gastroesophageal, or	MSH6, and PMS2), or microsatellite instability (MSI)		
Small Bowel Carcinoma	by DNA-based testing status, or both.		
	Percentage of patients 19 years of age and older who	•	MIPS CQMs
	are up-to-date on recommended routine vaccines for		Specifications
Adult Immunization	influenza; tetanus and diphtheria (Td) or tetanus,	•	Process
Status	diphtheria and acellular pertussis (Tdap); zoster; and	•	Composite
	pneumococcal.		••••••
Risk-Standardized Acute	Annual risk-standardized rate of acute, unplanned	•	Administrative Claims
Cardiovascular-Related	cardiovascular-related admissions among Medicare	•	High Priority
Hospital Admission Rates	Fee-for-Service (FFS) patients aged 65 years and	•	Outcome
for Patients with Heart	older with heart failure (HF) or cardiomyopathy.		Culcome
Failure under the Merit-			
based Incentive Payment			
System			
Cystem			

# Appendix C: Quality Measure Removals Proposed for the CY 2023 Performance Period/2025 MIPS Payment Year and Future Years

QUALITY #	MEASURE TITLE AND DESCRIPTION	<b>COLLECTION TYPE / MEASURE TYPE</b>
076	Prevention of Central Venous Catheter (CVC) - Related Bloodstream Infections: Percentage of patients, regardless of age, who undergo central venous catheter (CVC) insertion for whom CVC was inserted with all elements of maximal sterile barrier technique, hand hygiene, skin preparation and, if ultrasound is used, sterile ultrasound techniques followed.	<ul> <li>Medicare Part B Claims Measure Specifications, MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Process</li> </ul>
119	<b>Diabetes: Medical Attention for Nephropathy:</b> The percentage of patients 18-75 years of age with diabetes who had a nephropathy screening test or evidence of nephropathy during the measurement period.	<ul> <li>eCQM Specifications, MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>Process</li> </ul>
258	Rate of Open Repair of Small or Moderate Non- Ruptured Infrarenal Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms (AAA) without Major Complications (Discharged to Home by Post-Operative Day #7): Percent of patients undergoing open repair of small or moderate sized non-ruptured infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAA) who do not experience a major complication (discharge to home no later than post-operative day #7).	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Outcome</li> </ul>





QUALITY #	MEASURE TITLE AND DESCRIPTION	<b>COLLECTION TYPE / MEASURE TYPE</b>
260	Rate of Carotid Endarterectomy (CEA) for Asymptomatic Patients, without Major Complications (Discharged to Home by Post- Operative Day #2): Percent of asymptomatic patients undergoing Carotid Endarterectomy (CEA) who are discharged to home no later than post- operative day #2.	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Outcome</li> </ul>
261	Referral for Otologic Evaluation for Patients with Acute or Chronic Dizziness: Percentage of patients aged birth and older referred to a physician (preferably a physician specially trained in disorders of the ear) for an otologic evaluation subsequent to an audiologic evaluation after presenting with acute or chronic dizziness.	<ul> <li>Medicare Part B Claims Measures Specifications, MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Process</li> </ul>
265	<b>Biopsy Follow-Up:</b> Percentage of new patients whose biopsy results have been reviewed and communicated to the primary care/referring physician and patient.	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Process</li> </ul>
275	Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD): Assessment of Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) Status Before Initiating Anti-TNF (Tumor Necrosis Factor) Therapy: Percentage of patients with a diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) who had Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) status assessed and results interpreted prior to initiating anti-TNF (tumor necrosis factor) therapy.	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>Process</li> </ul>





QUALITY #	MEASURE TITLE AND DESCRIPTION	<b>COLLECTION TYPE / MEASURE TYPE</b>
323	Cardiac Stress Imaging Not Meeting Appropriate Use Criteria: Routine Testing After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI): Percentage of all stress single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI), stress echocardiogram (ECHO), cardiac computed tomography angiography (CCTA), and cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) performed in patients aged 18 years and older routinely after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), with reference to timing of test after PCI and symptom status.	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Efficiency</li> </ul>
375	<b>Functional Status Assessment for Total Knee</b> <b>Replacement:</b> Percentage of patients 18 years of age and older who received an elective primary total knee arthroplasty (TKA) and completed a functional status assessment within 90 days prior to the surgery and in the 270-365 days after the surgery.	<ul> <li>eCQM Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Process</li> </ul>
425	Photodocumentation of Cecal Intubation: The rate of screening and surveillance colonoscopies for which photodocumentation of at least two landmarks of cecal intubation is performed to establish a complete examination.	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>Process</li> </ul>
439	Age Appropriate Screening Colonoscopy: The percentage of screening colonoscopies performed in patients greater than or equal to 86 years of age from January 1 to December 31.	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Efficiency</li> </ul>





QUALITY #	MEASURE TITLE AND DESCRIPTION	<b>COLLECTION TYPE / MEASURE TYPE</b>
455	Percentage of Patients Who Died from Cancer Admitted to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) in the Last 30 Days of Life (lower score – better): Percentage of patients who died from cancer admitted to the ICU in the last 30 days of life.	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Outcome</li> </ul>
460	Back Pain After Lumbar Fusion: For patients 18 years of age or older who had a lumbar fusion procedure, back pain is rated by the patient as less than or equal to 3.0 OR an improvement of 5.0 points or greater on the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) Pain scale at one year (9 to 15 months) postoperatively.	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Patient-Reported Outcome-Based Performance Measure</li> </ul>
469	<b>Functional Status After Lumbar Fusion:</b> For patients 18 years of age and older who had a lumbar fusion procedure, functional status is rated by the patient as less than or equal to 22 OR an improvement of 30 points or greater on the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI version 2.1a) at one year (9 to 15 months) postoperatively.	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Patient-Reported Outcome-Based Performance Measure</li> </ul>
473	Leg Pain After Lumbar Fusion: For patients 18 years of age or older who had a lumbar fusion procedure, leg pain is rated by the patient as less than or equal to 3.0 OR an improvement of 5.0 points or greater on the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) Pain scale at one year (9 to 15 months) postoperatively.	<ul> <li>MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>High Priority</li> <li>Patient-Reported Outcome-Based Performance Measure</li> </ul>



## Appendix D: Quality Measure Removals from Traditional MIPS Proposed for the CY 2023 Performance Period/2025 MIPS Payment Year and Future Years

QUALITY #	MEASURE TITLE AND DESCRIPTION	COLLECTION TYPE / MEASURE TYPE
110	<ul> <li>Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza</li> <li>Immunization: Percentage of patients aged 6 months and older seen for a visit between October 1 and March 31 who received an influenza immunization OR who reported previous receipt of an influenza immunization.</li> <li>Note: This measure is being proposed for retention in MVPs.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Medicare Part B Claims Measure Specifications, eCQM Specifications, MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>Process</li> </ul>
111	<ul> <li>Pneumococcal Vaccination Status for Older Adults:</li> <li>Percentage of patients 66 years of age and older who have ever received a pneumococcal vaccine.</li> <li>Note: This measure is being proposed for retention in MVPs.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Medicare Part B Claims Measure Specifications, eCQM Specifications, MIPS CQMs Specifications</li> <li>Process</li> </ul>



## Appendix E: New Improvement Activities Proposed for the CY 2023 Performance Period/2025 MIPS Payment Year and Future Years

ACTIVITY TITLE	ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION	ACTIVITY WEIGHT / SUBCATEGORY
Adopt Certified Health Information Technology for Security Tags for Electronic Health Record Data	Use security labeling services available in certified health Information Technology (IT) for electronic health record (EHR) data to facilitate data segmentation.	Medium / Achieving Health Equity
Create and Implement a Plan to Improve Care for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer Patients	Create and implement a plan to improve care for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ+) patients by understanding and addressing health disparities for this population. The plan may include an analysis of sexual orientation and gender identity (SO/GI) data to identify disparities in care for LGBTQ+ patients. Actions to implement this activity may also include identifying target goals for addressing disparities in care, collecting and using patients' pronouns and chosen names, training clinicians and staff on SO/GI terminology (including as supported by certified health IT and the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC) US Core Data for Interoperability [USCDI]), identifying risk factors or behaviors specific to LGBTQ+ individuals, communicating SO/GI data security and privacy practices with patients,	High / Achieving Health Equity



	and/or utilizing anatomical inventories when documenting patient health histories.	
Create and Implement a Language Access Plan	Create and implement a language access plan to address communication barriers for individuals with limited English proficiency. The language access plan must align with standards for communication and language assistance defined in the National Standards for Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS) in Health and Health Care (https://thinkculturalhealth.hhs.gov/clas).	High / Expanded Practice Access
COVID-19 Vaccine Achievement for Practice Staff	Demonstrate that 100% of office staff in the MIPS eligible clinician's practice are fully COVID-19 vaccinated according to the Center for Disease Control and Prevention's definition of fully vaccinated (https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019- ncov/vaccines/stay-up-to-date.html).	Medium / Emergency Response and Preparedness

## Appendix F: Improvement Activities Proposed for Removal for the CY 2023 Performance Period/2025 MIPS Payment Year and Future Years

Activity ID	Activity Title and Description	Activity Weight / Subcategory
IA_BE_7	<ul> <li>Participation in a QCDR, that promotes use of patient engagement tools:</li> <li>Participation in a Qualified Clinical Data Registry (QCDR), that promotes patient engagement, including: <ul> <li>Use of processes and tools that engage patients for adherence to treatment plans;</li> <li>Implementation of patient self-action plans;</li> <li>Implementation of shared clinical decision-making capabilities; or</li> <li>Use of QCDR patient experience data to inform and advance improvements in beneficiary engagement.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Medium / Beneficiary Engagement
IA_BE_8	Participation in a QCDR, that promotes collaborative learning network opportunities that are interactive: Participation in a QCDR, that promotes collaborative learning network opportunities that are interactive.	Medium / Beneficiary Engagement
IA_PM_7	Use of QCDR for feedback reports that incorporate population health: Use of a QCDR to generate regular feedback reports that summarize local practice patterns	High / Population Management



	and treatment outcomes, including for	
	vulnerable populations.	
IA_PSPA_6	Consultation of the Prescription Drug Monitoring Program: Review the history of controlled substance prescriptions for 90 percent* of patients using state prescription drug monitoring program (PDMP) data prior to the issuance of a Controlled Substance Schedule II (CSII) opioid prescription lasting longer than 3 days. *Apply exceptions for patients receiving palliative and hospice care.	High / Patient Safety and Practice Assessment
IA_PSPA_20	<ul> <li>Leadership engagement in regular guidance and demonstrated commitment for implementing practice improvement changes:</li> <li>Ensure full engagement of clinical and administrative leadership in practice improvement that could include one or more of the following:         <ul> <li>Make responsibility for guidance of practice change a component of clinical and administrative leadership roles;</li> <li>Allocate time for clinical and administrative leadership for practice improvement efforts, including participation in regular team meetings; and/or</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Medium / Patient Safety and Practice Assessment



	<ul> <li>Incorporate population health, quality and patient experience metrics in regular reviews of practice performance.</li> </ul>	
IA_PSPA_30	PCI Bleeding Campaign: Participation in the PCI Bleeding Campaign which is a national quality improvement program that provides infrastructure for a learning network and offers evidence-based resources and tools to reduce avoidable bleeding associated with patients who receive a percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).	High / Patient Safety and Practice Assessment
	The program uses a patient-centered and team-based approach, leveraging evidence- based best practices to improve care for PCI patients by implementing quality improvement strategies: • Radial-artery access, • Bivalirudin, and • Use of vascular closure devices.	